LONG ISLAND OFFICE 1129 Northern Boulevard Ste 404

Manhasset, New York 11030



6911 18[™] AVENUE **BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11204** TELEPHONE (718) 232-1250 Fax (718) 256-0966

removeALFRED POLIZZOTTO (1935-2001) ALFRED POLIZZOTTO III*

EMILIO RODRIGUEZ, JR.

*(ADMITTED NEW YORK, NEW JERSEY)

June 13, 2025

Honorable Lorna G. Schofield Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Erdman v. Victor, 20-CV-04162-LGS Re:

Dear Judge Schofield:

This firm represents Defendant Adam Victor in the above-captioned defamation action brought by *Pro Se* Plaintiff Tyler Erdman. And this letter is written jointly with the Plaintiff, pursuant to ¶¶ 13(b) of your Civil Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order and §III(D)(3) of your Individual Rules and Procedures for Civil Cases.

1. What Discovery Has Taken Place

The parties issued their interrogatories and requests for documents in October 2021. Responses to these requests took the form of the production of over one million pages of documents in February 2022, by the Defendant, and thousands of pages by the Plaintiff electronically in November 2021.

The parties have just closed party discovery and were in the process of beginning third-party discovery when Magistrate Gorenstein issued an Order dated May 28, 2025, declaring all discovery to be completed. Numerous conferences were held with Magistrate Gorenstein due to the fact there were issues between the parties regarding document productions. It was well understood that the court was looking to resolve those issues before having the parties move onto PLEASE RESPOND TO BROOKLYN OFFICE

third-party discovery. This unsurety regarding discovery affects the deadlines for the requesting of pre-dispositive motion conferences and all other subsequent deadlines leading to trial. The parties are therefore making a joint request for clarification and guidance as to how they can continue with third-party discovery as planned and properly request any dispositive motions at the proper time.

2. Procedural History of the Case to Date

Plaintiff filed the instant action on June 1, 2020. A Notice of Appearance was filed by the Defendant on September 9, 2020. Plaintiff then went on to file an Amended Complaint on October 26, 2020. A motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint was filed on November 9, 2020. Plaintiff then filed a First Amended Complaint on May 3, 2021. Defendant's motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint was granted on June 17, 2021 with leave to replead via letter by July 7, 2021. Plaintiff filed for an extension of time to replead on July 2, 2021 which was granted. A Second Amended Complaint was filed on August 18, 2021. Defendant followed with a motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint on September 23, 2021. An Opinion and Order was issued by the court on November 17, 2021 granting the motion to dismiss in part and denying it in part.

There are no motions currently pending.

3. Conclusion

Magistrate Gorenstein's order dated May 28, 2025 indicated June 13, 2025 as the last day to seek permission to make a motion for summary judgment as required by section 4.A of Judge Schofield's "Special Rules & Practices in Civil Pro Se Cases." Whereas both parties intend on making such a motion, we believe it is premature at this stage due to the fact discovery has not truly been completed. Therefore, we reiterate our joint request for clarification and guidance as to how we can continue with third-party discovery as planned and properly request any dispositive motions at the proper time.

Yours faithfully

Polizzotto & Polizzotto, LLC

Emilio Rodriguez

Emilio Rodriguez

Tyler Crdman
Tyler Erdman

Pro Se